# Exercises 3 — functions

### 1.

Define two sets *A* and *B*, as well as a function  $f : A \longrightarrow B$ , such that *f* is **surjective** and **not injective**.

 $A = \{a, b\}$ 

 $B = \{x\}$ 

 $f = \{(a,x), (b,x)\}$ 

# 2.

Suppose  $f: x \mapsto (x+1)^2$  with domain A and codomain B.1. If A = [-2, 2], what is B so that f is surjective?B = [0, 9]2. If B = [0, 4], what is a set A such that f is bijective?A = [-1, 1]3. If B = [0, 4], what is the largest possible set A?A = [-3, 1]

1

Assume you have a surjection  $s:A \longrightarrow B$  and an injection  $j:B \longmapsto C$  .

1. Their composition  $j \circ s$  is not always injective. Show this using a counterexample, by giving definitions for *A*, *B*, *C*, *s*, and *j*, and demonstrating that their composition  $j \circ s$  is not injective.

 $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$  $B = \{b\}$  $C = \{c_1, c_2\}$  $s = \{(a_1, b), (a_2, b)\}$  $j = \{(b, c_1)\}$ 

Now demonstrate that the composition  $j \circ s$  is not injective:

 $j \circ s(a_1) = j(s(a_1)) = j(b) = c_1$  $j \circ s(a_2) = j(s(a_2)) = j(b) = c_1$ 

The composition is not injective because  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , yet  $j \circ s(a_1) = j \circ s(a_2)$ .

2. Their composition  $j \circ s$  is also not always surjective. Show this using a counterexample, by giving definitions for A, B, C, s, and j, and demonstrating that their composition  $j \circ s$  is not surjective.

 $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$  $B = \{b\}$  $C = \{c_1, c_2\}$  $s = \{(a_1, b), (a_2, b)\}$  $j = \{(b, c_1)\}$ 

Now demonstrate that the composition  $j \circ s$  is not surjective:

 $j \circ s(A) = j \circ s(\{a_1, a_2\}) = \{j \circ s(a_1), j \circ s(a_2)\} = \{c_1, c_1\} = \{c_1\} \neq C$ 

Assume you have an injection  $j:A \longleftrightarrow B$  and a surjection  $s:B \longrightarrow C$  .

1. Their composition is not always injective. Show this using a counterexample, by giving definitions for *A*, *B*, *C*, *j*, and *s*, and demonstrating that their composition  $s \circ j$  is not injective.

 $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$  $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$  $C = \{c\}$  $j = \{(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)\}$  $s = \{(b_1, c), (b_2, c)\}$ 

Now demonstrate that the composition  $s \circ j$  is not injective:

 $s \circ j(a_1) = s(j(a_1)) = s(b_1) = c$  $s \circ j(a_2) = s(j(a_2)) = s(b_2) = c$ 

The composition is not injective because  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , yet  $s \circ j(a_1) = s \circ j(a_2)$ .

2. Their composition is not always surjective. Show this using a counterexample, by giving definitions for A, B, C, j, and s, and demonstrating that their composition  $s \circ j$  is not surjective.

 $A = \{a\}$  $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$  $C = \{c_1, c_2\}$  $j = \{(a, b_1)\}$  $s = \{(b_1, c_1), (b_2, c_2)\}$ 

Now demonstrate that the composition  $s \circ j$  is not surjective:

 $s \circ j(A) = s \circ j(\{a\}) = \{s \circ j(a)\} = \{c_1\} \neq C$ 

Suppose you have **injections**  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$  and  $g : A \hookrightarrow B$ , as well as a **non-empty** set  $S \subset A$  (note that *S* is a **proper** subset of *A*). Now let's define a function  $h : A \longrightarrow B$  as follows:

$$h: x \mapsto \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{ for } x \in S \\ g(x) & \text{ for } x \not \in S \end{cases}$$

This function is not, in general, injective.

Whether it is injective depends on the definitions of A, B, f, g, and S.

1. Give definitions for *A*, *B*, *f*, *g*, and *S* such that the *h* above is injective.

$$A = \{a, b\}$$
  

$$B = \{x, y\}$$
  

$$f = \{(a, x), (b, y)\}$$
  

$$g = \{(a, x), (b, y)\}$$
  

$$S = \{a\}$$

2. Give definitions for *A*, *B*, *f*, *g*, and *S* such that the *h* above is **not** injective.

$$A = \{a, b\}$$
  

$$B = \{x, y\}$$
  

$$f = \{(a, x), (b, y)\}$$
  

$$g = \{(a, y), (b, x)\}$$
  

$$S = \{a\}$$

3. Give a general formal criterion, depending only on *A*, *B*, *f*, *g*, and *S* (not necessarily all of them), that defines the condition under which *h* is injective. (Hint: Remember, *f* and *g* are already injective.)

h is injective if and only if  $f(S) \cap g(A \setminus S) = \emptyset$ 

Note: You are **not** supposed to reiterate the definition of injectivity for h, but rather give an expression involving at most A, B, f, g, and S (but **not** h) that is true if an only if they lead to an injective h.

Suppose we have a set *A* that is **totally ordered** by a strict total order relation < on *A*. A function  $f : A \longrightarrow A$  is called *strictly monotonic* iff for any  $a, b \in A$  it is the case that a < b implies that f(a) < f(b).

1. Show that such a strictly monotonic function f is always injective.

It is to show that  $x \neq y$  implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ Since  $x \neq y$ , and A is totally ordered, then either x < y or y < x. If the former, then f(x) < f(y) and therefore  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If the latter, correspondingly.

You need to show that  $x \neq y$  implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , so  $x \neq y$  is the starting point of the proof. To get from there to x < y (or equivalently y < x), you need to invoke the *total* order property of <.

2. A strictly monotonic function is not, however, necessarily surjective. Demonstrate this by giving a counterexample.

$$f: \ \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x \mapsto 2^x$$

f is strictly monotonic, but not surjective.

Define **one** set *A*, as well as a function  $f : A \longrightarrow A$ , such that *f* is **surjective** and **not injective**.

 $A=\,\mathbb{N}$ 

$$f: a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0\\ a - 1 & \text{if } a > 0 \end{cases}$$

The crux here is that A has to be infinite. This is a lead-in to the next lecture.